

Solid XL - last look with CLEO steel?

Jay Benesch
11 July 2017 Rev. 2

Abstract

A revised magnetic model of the SoLID system with the ME-specified layout at the magnet-endcap interface has been created. This model still uses the CLEO steel even though it may be replaced. It uses a 1010 BH curve rather than the Opera default “good magnet steel” as in previous documents. This increases stray field outside the iron from 5G to 10G where PMTs and detector readouts are to be placed. It also increase the field at PMT locations inside the endcap from ~60 G to ~80 G. It follows that holes covering 2% of the surface area of the end cap may increase these fields similar amounts. The effect of the nose taper required to maintain acceptance to the end of the PVDIS target on the field in these regions is shown to be small (~2%), contrary to my expectations.

Discussion

As a result of my discovery, detailed in TN17-032 on the HRS resistive quads, that moving from 2 cm to 1 cm mesh maximum made a 0.25% change in quadrupole term and caused the dipole term to go from strange to making sense, I decided to create two volumes of “detector air” with finer mesh than the steel and remainder of the interior. This resulted in a model with ~300M non-zeroes in the matrices with eight-fold symmetry. I could not later break the symmetry with the turret cut-out within Opera's limit of 2147M non-zeroes so I had to cut back on elements. From Whit Seay I got inner radii of the new support systems in the solenoid and end cap. From Xiaochao Zheng I got the length of the region behind the shashliks. These changes, and some I made to the air surrounding the steel, got the model down to 246M non-zeroes. “Detector_air1” is now R132 Z [-188,188]. “Detector_air2” is now OR 258, dR 173 Z [224.79,508.79]. The mesh is shown in figure 1.




Figure 1. Mesh of markV_try3 models. The two large dark rectangles are “detector air”. The thin R dark block above the left one contains the solenoid. Dark mesh has 2 cm maximum elements. Medium grey and green steel has 4 cm maximum mesh. Light grey scales from 4 cm to 32 cm maximum.

Question for collaboration: May I reduce the OR of “detector_air2” from 258 cm to 250 cm? The latter is the OR of the volume I was given for the LGC and HGC PMTs. **Or even smaller?**

Try1

There were four try1 models solved with varying upstream plug thicknesses. The first three had plug thicknesses of 76, 66 and 61 cm. Opera calculated forces on the solenoid for each. I plotted, added a quadratic fit line, and solved the quadratic. The fourth model used the solution, 58.8 cm. The four-point plot and fit are shown below. The solution for this fit is 58.95 cm thick aka -207.75 cm.




Figure 2. Force on the solenoid coils (Newtons) as a function of the downstream end of the upstream plug. The upstream end is at Z=-266.7. One zero of the fit equation is -207.75. The four axial load cells can handle 5000 kgf each, aka ~200 kN total. The pair of points at upper left yield 43 kN/cm.




Figure 3. This is a quarter-section of the try3a model. The small blue region on the nose cone is the region Zhiwen wishes to remove to provide acceptance of the full length of the PVDIS target. Solving the model with that region having BH curves of 1010 steel (sim 1) and air (sim 2) yields a change in the coil force from +827 N to -19.5 kN, 20.3 kN total. About the same as 5 mm of thickness change in the upstream plug.

Surface contours: BMOD

3.526233E+04

3.000000E+04

2.500000E+04

2.000000E+04

1.500000E+04

1.000000E+04

5.000000E+03

0.000000E+00

Y

300

0

-100

X

Z

100

0

100

200

300

400

500

600

700

800

900

1000

1100

1200

1300

1400

1500

1600

1700

1800

1900

2000

2100

2200

2300

2400

2500

2600

2700

2800

2900

3000

3100

3200

3300

3400

3500

3600

3700

3800

3900

4000

4100

4200

4300

4400

4500

4600

4700

4800

4900

5000

5100

5200

5300

5400

5500

5600

5700

5800

5900

6000

6100

6200

6300

6400

6500

6600

6700

6800

6900

7000

7100

7200

7300

7400

7500

7600

7700

7800

7900

8000

8100

8200

8300

8400

8500

8600

8700

8800

8900

9000

9100

9200

9300

9400

9500

9600

9700

9800

9900

10000

10100

10200

10300

10400

10500

10600

10700

10800

10900

11000

11100

11200

11300

11400

11500

11600

11700

11800

11900

12000

12100

12200

12300

12400

12500

12600

12700

12800

12900

13000

13100

13200

13300

13400

13500

13600

13700

13800

13900

14000

14100

14200

14300

14400

14500

14600

14700

14800

14900

15000

15100

15200

15300

15400

15500

15600

15700

15800

15900

16000

16100

16200

16300

16400

16500

16600

16700

16800

16900

17000

17100

17200

17300

17400

17500

17600

17700

17800

17900

18000

18100

18200

18300

18400

18500

18600

18700

18800

18900

19000

19100

19200

19300

19400

19500

19600

19700

19800

19900

20000

20100

20200

20300

20400

20500

20600

20700

20800

20900

21000

21100

21200

21300

21400

21500

21600

21700

21800

21900

22000

22100

22200

22300

22400

22500

22600

22700

22800

22900

23000

23100

23200

23300

23400

23500

23600

23700

23800

23900

24000

24100

24200

24300

24400

24500

24600

24700

24800

24900

25000

25100

25200

25300

25400

25500

25600

25700

25800

25900

26000

26100

26200

26300

26400

26500

26600

26700

26800

26900

27000

27100

27200

27300

27400

27500

27600

27700

27800

27900

28000

28100

28200

28300

28400

28500

28600

28700

28800

28900

29000

29100

29200

29300

29400

29500

29600

29700

29800

29900

30000

30100

30200

30300

30400

30500

30600

30700

30800

30900

31000

31100

31200

31300

31400

31500

31600

31700

31800

31900

32000

32100

32200

32300

32400

32500

32600

32700

32800

32900

33000

33100

33200

33300

33400

33500

33600

33700

33800

33900

34000

34100

34200

34300

34400

34500

34600

34700

34800

34900

35000

9/jul/2017 07:22:32

Surface contours: BMOD

3.415810E+04

3.000000E+04

2.500000E+04

2.000000E+04

1.500000E+04

1.000000E+04

5.000000E+03

0.000000E+00

Y

300

200

100

0

-100

Z

X

MODEL DATA

markV_try3a.op3

Magnetostatic (TOSCA)

Nonlinear materials

Simulation No 2 of 2

12641529 elements

17499919 nodes

4 conductors

Nodally interpolated fields

Activated in global coordinates

8-fold rotational symmetry

Field Point Local Coordinates


Local = Global

FIELD EVALUATIONS

Cartesian CARTESIAN 100x15 Cartesian (nodal)

x=0.0 y=85.0 z=585.0 to 270.0 to 615.0

Figure 5. Bmodulus on surface with double nose taper (aka blue in figure 3 = air). Peak 3.415 T




UNITS	
Length	cm
Magn Flux Density	gauss
Magnetic Field	oersted
Magn Scalar Pot	oersted cm
Current Density	A/cm ²
Power	W
Force	N

MODEL DATA	
markV_try3a.op3	
Magnetostatic (TOSCA)	
Nonlinear materials	
Simulation No 1 of 2	
12641529 elements	
17499919 nodes	
4 conductors	
Nodally interpolated fields	
Activated in global coordinates	
8-fold rotational symmetry	

Field Point Local Coordinates	
Local = Global	

FIELD EVALUATIONS	
Cartesian CARTESIAN	100x50 Cartesian
(nodal)	
x=0.0	y=85.0 z=225.0
	to 270.0 to 325.0

Figure 6. Bmodulus in endcap over the cone, single taper on nose (blue=steel) . Peak 0.227 T



UNITS	
Length	cm
Magn Flux Density	gauss
Magnetic Field	oersted
Magn Scalar Pot	oersted cm
Current Density	A/cm ²
Power	W
Force	N

MODEL DATA	
markV_try3a.op3	
Magnetostatic (TOSCA)	
Nonlinear materials	
Simulation No 2 of 2	
12641529 elements	
17499919 nodes	
4 conductors	
Nodally interpolated fields	
Activated in global coordinates	
8-fold rotational symmetry	

Field Point Local Coordinates	
Local = Global	

FIELD EVALUATIONS	
Cartesian CARTESIAN	100x50 Cartesian
(nodal)	
x=0.0	y=85.0 z=225.0
	to 270.0 to 325.0

Figure 7. Bmodulus in endcap over the cone, double taper on nose (blue=air) . Peak 0.237 T. Some flux which would have been conducted through the nose and around the entire endcap now jumps directly to the downstream coil collar. This is what I didn't consider at the collaboration meeting.




Figure 8. Bmodulus in vicinity of LGC and HGC PMTs. 1010 BH curve used here. Single taper on nose.




Figure 9. Bmodulus in vicinity of LGC and HGC PMTs. 1010 BH curve used here. Double taper on nose. Peak 77 G here vs 79 G in figure 8. With Default BH curve, 71.5 G peak. With JLabSR, 74.4 G.




Figure 10. Bmodulus in vicinity of GEM electronics. Single taper on nose. Peak 10 G. 1010 BH curve used here.




Figure 11. Bmodulus in vicinity of GEM electronics. Double taper on nose. Peak 9.8 G, slightly less than figure 10. 1010 BH curve used here. With Default BH curve, 7.1 G peak. With JLabSR, 8 G.




Figure 12. Bmodulus in vicinity of ECAL PMTs. Single taper on nose. Peak 10.5 G. 1010 BH curve used here.




Figure 13. Bmodulus in vicinity of ECAL PMTs. Double taper on nose. Peak 10.4 G. 1010 BH curve used here. With Default BH curve, 4.5 G peak. With JLabSR: 6.9 G peak.

Three B-H curves

The model with double taper and upstream plug ending at Z -207.75 cm was run with three different B-H curves. With the Opera Default “good magnet steel”, force on the coil is 11.4 N, With 1010 B-H, -19.5 kN. With the B-H curve discussed in TN-09-47, which includes a chemistry specification, +3.9 kN. The last was scaled from one provided by Robin Wines to match the measured properties of accelerator magnets used to 1.4T bore field, the topic of the TN. The chemistry spec and one figure from the TN follow in Appendix B.

Conclusions

1. It is OK to make a double taper on the nose to get acceptance of particles from the downstream end of the PVDIS target
2. Three B-H curves spanning the likely range of steel quality produce a force range of +11.4 kN to -19.5 kN. This is well within the +-196 kN range of the four load cells. It's equivalent to a range of plug thickness of 0.7 cm out of 58.95 cm, 1.2%.
3. Measuring the BH curves for the upstream plug and the cone steel when fabricated and re-running the calculations would be helpful in defining final thickness of the upstream plug. Buying steel to the CEBAF spec in Appendix B should also work.
4. Given the change in stray field inside the end cap with BH curve, the model should be rebuilt with the endcap cylinder and end plates assigned yet another BH curve, one in which B is multiplied by 0.98 to account for 2% area reduction for cable holes.
5. The field in the inner octagon peaks at 1.18T at (x,z)=(0,0). In the outer octagon, 0.87T. It follows that three layers of the same 6.5” steel used in the model for the end cap and end plates would do as well as the two layers of 14.2” CLEO steel shown here.

Question 2 for collaboration: Should (4) or (5) above be next on my list?

Appendix A: Modeller primitives used to build Opera models revised 7/9/2017

Inner octagon steel1 level 92 4cm

inside Y 69.5" = $176.53 \text{ cm} * (1/\cos 22.5) = 191.075 \text{ IR}$

outside Y 83.71" = $212.62 \text{ cm} * (1/\cos 22.5) = 230.142 \text{ OR}$

radial thickness 39.069 cm.

Z -266.7 to 224.79

Opera has a operation: make n-sided polygon. Using 230.142 OR and thickness 39.069 one arrives at an octagon with the inside and outside heights on the Cornell drawing 6052-303 sheet 3.

Chamfer at Z 224.79 OR 15.56 in Z by 12.45 in R

Notch construction air at end of inner octagon

Whit has a 1.5" notch running Z=189.23 to 224.79 which is 1.5" deep to match hexagonal OD of new coil collar. But coil collar has round ID. So I have to make a hexagonal notch in inner octagon and then trim overlap. As above, the OR 71" = $180.34 * (1/\cos 22.5) = 195.2$, IR 69.5" = $176.53 * (1/\cos 22.5) = 191.075$ but use deltaR 4.25 and Z 225 max to grab it.

Outer octagon steel2 level 91 4cm (so I can make it air BH later)

outside Y 101.42" = $257.61 * (1/\cos 22.5) = 278.832 \text{ OR}$

radial thickness 39.069 cm

Z -266.7 to 209.23

Again, make n=8 polygon of this Opera "tube"

Spacer bars steel2 level 91 4cm (so I can make them air BH later)

Between octagons: I figured out the volume of the bars and determined that cutting the Z extent to 25.5 cm would maintain the steel volume. Z extent: -266.7 to -241.2, 183.73 to 209.23. This simplifies the model a lot. These end annuli are merged with the outer octagons in the model and trimmed by inner - no air gaps.

Coils used cold dimensions from OMT manual, including Z shrinkage, and warm Z lengths of outer segment from winding drawing.

IR 151.7 OR 154.9

Z1 -173.75 to -85.45 3814.273 A/cm^2

Z2 -85.45 to 85.45 3708.32 A/cm^2

Z3 85.35 to 173.75 3814.273 A/cm^2

Current densities were derived by looking at total turns, 1281, and conductor sizes from IEEE paper and estimating winding pattern. Only later did I see the winding drawing. I can't find turns count on it. I did learn that the 4.9 mm conductor is used only on the outer winding outer layer, not both layers. More recently I've multiplied the current densities above by -1.0072 (ends) and -0.9961 (center) to get the ratio closer to 1.04 quoted in the paper. Correction solenoid 9 cm square, -1.2

Upstream coil collar steel1 93 4cm

OR 194.145 cm, 113.50" ID => 144.145 cm IR, deltaR 50, Z -266.7 to -189.23 cm (30.5" extent).

Trim overlap with inner octagon.

Coil air: level 100 2cm

OR 156 cm, thickness 5 cm, Z -175 to 175 cm

Upstream plug steel1 93 4cm

Z -266.7 to **-207.25** cm with Zhiwen taper steel set to air. **-207.75** if set to steel. OR 144.145 cm.

Solid to start. Create a cone with 1 mm R tip at Z=-350 and base 74.61 cm R at Z=-190, aka 25 degree angle. Trim overlap of steel with air, then delete air leaving conical hole. *Bold value will be varied to null force on coils.*

Downstream collar steel1 94 4cm

Z 189.23 to 224.79

tube OR 195.20 deltaR 51.2

at IR 144, Z 224.79 chamfer R 20.447 Z 25.56

trim overlap to inner octagon notch made on previous page

Cone steel1 95 4cm

R66.25 at Z 189.23 from 7 degree constraint

R85 at Z 342.27 from 7 degree constraint and 85 cm OR maximum

Zhiwen taper steel3 96 4cm

R61 Z189.23

R68.759 Z210

trim overlap with cone above. Check for errors before and after.

CylinderA steel1 95 4cm

OR 85 Z 342.27 to 579.12

Endcap_cyl steel1 90 4cm

OR 286.51delta R 16.51 (6.5") Z 209.23 to 529.59

End_plate1 steel1 90 4cm

OR 286.51 delta R 201.51 (leaving 85 cm for CylinderA), Z 529.59 to 546.1

End_plate2 steel1 90 4cm

OR 286.51 delta R 201.51 (leaving 85 cm for CylinderA), Z 546.1 to 562.61

Cone_plate steel1 95 4cm

OR 213.36 delta R 128.36 (leaving 85 cm for CylinderA), Z 562.61 to 579.12.

chamfer at OR 16.51 by 16.51

Hole in cone: IR 19 cm at Z 189.23, IR 35 at Z 581.85 from 2 and 3.5 degree constraints

Interface_endcap steel1 90 4cm

OR 286.51 delta R 32 Z 183.83 to 209.23 aka 10": interface octagons to end cap cylinder. Trim overlap with outer octagon.

Inner air level 80 4cm

R310 Z -300 to 600. R100 Z -450 to -300

And in gap between octagons: Z -240 to 184 overlaps bars a bit OR 240 deltaR 10 should cover gap.

Use cut plane at Z=0 to see gap and trim overlap sequentially.

Detector air, level 82 2cm

part 1: R132 Z [-188,188] part 2: OR 258, dR 173 Z [224.79,508.79] shorter OK per Xiaochao

Outer air 70 32cm

R500 Z -500 to 800. level 70.

Background cylinder has multipliers applied to stuff I defined. Z 9 R 8 320 cm max mesh. Meshes out to 5000 cm both directions.

corrector ring steel1 97 2cm

OR 51.435 deltaR 0.635 so IR 50.8 (20")

Z -266.7 to -278

correction_coil IR 40 cm vs end plug hole 38.84 IR. Cross section 9 cm square. J 100 A/cm² Offset -277 cm so downstream end is at -268, 1.3 cm from plug face. #8 square conductor is $0.1298 + 0.005 = 0.1348$ maximum dimension. 0.3424 cm. Assume conductor is butted within layers and there is 0.010" glass between layers to wick epoxy. 25 turns/layer +1 for transition, 24 layers, 600 turns total.

Service turret steel removal. I built a rectangular parallelepiped of air inclined at 22.5 degrees with 13.2" X width and 14" Z width. I made it substantially longer than the chord of the paired octagons. I moved it to 30.75" from -Z end at the edge of the top plate. I trimmed the overlap of the steel and air, then deleted the air. "Inner air" above fills the gap created.

Appendix B: CEBAF steel statement of work (from TN-09-047)

The steel for CEBAF magnets was purchased to a statement of work, 22161-S-002/Rev. C, which specifies the steel chemistry, not the BH curve. The relevant portion of section 3.1 is:

The chemistry of the hot rolled steel shall be as described below. Mill certification is required. The steel shall meet the specifications for AISI 1006 in all other respects.

<i>Impurity</i>	<i>Allowable %</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>greater than or equal to 0.04, less than or equal to 0.08</i>
<i>Si</i>	<i>less than or equal to 0.1</i>
<i>Mn+Ni+Cr+Cu</i>	<i>less than or equal to 0.5</i>
<i>Al+Mo+S+P</i>	<i>less than or equal to 0.1</i>
<i>N</i>	<i>less than or equal to 0.004</i>
<i>O</i>	<i>less than or equal to 0.002</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>traces</i>




Figure 9 of TN-09-047. JlabSR, two reference BH curves from ANSYS (pure iron and SA1020) and one BH curve from Vector Fields (VF1010) which encompass the required steel chemistry (see below). VF1010 and SA1020 should be harder magnetic materials than JLabSR due to higher carbon content. The multipliers used in this work, maximum 1.03, will not appear on this log-log plot so only the unmodified curve is shown. .