Magnet Modeling

Jay Benesch 13 October 2017 SoLID collaboration meeting

Outline

- model with JLab steel
- fields with/without turret cut-out
- conclusions/questions
- He3 target coils
- conclusions

Best model

Blue steel has BH curve altered to simulate 2% hole area. Service turret cut-out at top left. Assumes three layers of 17 cm steel in octagon. Interface ring likely wider than needed. 700

Model section - symmetric



Octagon is 51 cm thick, three 17 cm plates. End cap is rolled from 17 cm plate. End cap plates at right are also 3*17 cm. The items which are not multiples of 17cm are the upstream plug, the interface ring, the coil collars and the nose. I'm not sure why the mesh is coarser in the -Z region outside the acceptance; this may explain the next slide.

Comparing fields with vs without turret: is an eightfold symmetric model sufficient?



Most of this volume is outside SoLID acceptance but CLEO would have seen these.

Comparing fields II



r<100, z=[-205, -105] Some of this is outside acceptance but I don't have the tools to easily separate those points. Tables of field values are in docdb 52 and 53 for examination by those who do.

Comparing fields III



Small volume at larger radii, most of which is in acceptance. r=[100,140] z=[-135,-105]

Comparing fields IV



r=[100,140] z=[-105,0] Most of this is within acceptance.

Comparing fields - conclusions

- Models have been solved with and without turret cutout so comparisions may be made. 112M nodes, 1.55B non-zeroes in matrices. Opera limit 2B.
 Preparation and solution about one week each.
- Sample field maps created as (r,θ,z) but reported as (x,y,z) are in docdb for better comparisons than I can make.
- The model with turret cut-out suggests strongly that load cells will be well within tolerance in this configuration which differs so much from original

He3 target coils

- As dimensioned in wiki, target "Helmholtz" coils will not fit in front of the SoLID system modeled. *This was a misunderstanding. The coils labeled "small, large and vertical" are NOT used for Bx, By, Bz. Only small (Bx) and large (Bz) are expected to be used and these fit.*
- Nevertheless, I show recent work in case future He3 experiments require adjusting all three planes.
- Coils interact significantly with the SoLID steel. It would be better if they were symmetric about solenoid Z axis, not offset 15 cm vertically, to keep coil torque sums zero.
- UVA coils and a three-pair set of my own device were modeled with first 30" of steel
- Coils on edges of a cube were also modeled.

another source of misapprehension

Zhiwen's image shows a transverse coil within ~ 10 cm of the face of the coil collar. I didn't notice that there was no coil set producing By, nor was it clear that the r=76 cm note referred to the Bz pair.

EM Calorimeter (large angle) GEM r=76cm e Scint ollimate Z=-312c Coil and Yoke Z=-282 cm 1m

SoLID (SIDIS He3)

Temple coil set I



Bx coil set with 2316.8 AT. Bz set 0.

Temple coil set II



Bz coils with 2889.7 AT. Magnetization of ring around compensation coil still asymmetric due to vertical offset. Bx R 66.8 Bz R 75.8 cm Forces and torques on Bz coils (x,y,z)

Torques calculated with respect to (0,0,0). Total force on downstream Bz coil = 0.7, 1.5, 7.7 N Total torque on downstream Bz coil = -247.4, 67.7, -11.1 N cm Total force on upstream Bz coil = 3.0, 0.1, 6.2 N Total torque on upstream Bz coil = 75.5, -718.4, -45.6 N cm

Temple coil set III



Bz on 40 cm radius cylinder offset 15 cm vertically. Upstream 2890 AT, downstream 82.5%



Blue line is Bz coils with 2890 AT in each Black line has upstream Bz 2890 AT, downstream at 82.5% of 2890 AT Green line is Bx coil set with 2317 AT

Field exiting SoLID



Bz field from SoLID with 9720 AT in compensation coil, Z=[-380,-320]

Proposed round coil set I



Bz coils with 2880 AT. Magnetization of ring around compensation coil symmetric: no vertical offset. X and Y coils offset 10 cm vertically. Inner pair By R 62.46, middle Bx R 70.26, outer Bz R 85.26. Forces and torques on Bz coils (x,y,z). Z torques zero as coils NOT offset.

Torques calculated with respect to (0,0,0). Total force on downstream Bz coil = 5.1, -18.6, -9.2 N Total torque on downstream Bz coil = 1888.2, 318.8, 0 N cm Total force on upstream Bz coil = -10.4, -4.8, 33.9 N Total torque on upstream Bz coil = 137.8, 138.1, 0 N cm

Proposed round target coils II



B along axes (Bx along x axis, etc) with 2880 AT in each coil except blue Bz, with 2880 AT upstream and (75%) 2160 AT downstream By (red) not symmetric as x,y coils offset 10 cm vertically. Black Bx set, green symmetric Bz set.

Proposed round target coils III



Side view. Bz on 40 cm diameter, 60 cm long cylinder, offset 15 cm vertically, sim7. Bz with 2880 AT upstream and (75%) 2160 AT downstream Minimal clearance between Bx coils and steel at right but OK in 3D. Bx and By coils offset +10 cm.

Comparing round coil sets

- Existing coil set fits.
- Mean radii: UVA Bx 66.8 cm, Bz 75.8 cm
- Mean radii new: Bx 70.3 cm Bz 85.3 cm By 62.6 cm
- UVA offset vertically 15 cm, proposed new 10 cm given larger radii in x, z.

He3 cube coils I



4.4 decades ago I learned from George J Schulz (Yale, dec.) that coils on the surface of a cube work almost as well as a Helmholtz set. They provide much better access.

He3 cube coils II



B along axes (Bx along x axis, etc) with 2400 AT in each coil. Z (black) higher close to steel. Lower B amplitude due to larger volume with fixed AT.



Comparing round (top) with cube (bottom). Transverse coils all have 2400 AT. Z coils have 2400 AT upstream. Z downstream 1800 AT round, 1472 AT square.

He3 target coils conclusions

- If three existing target coils are used to set (Bx, By, Bz) they interfere with the compensation coil needed to flatten the Bz field from the hole in coil plug.
- Three options have been shown which do fit including existing (Bx, Bz) pair.
- All coils interact strongly with the SoLID steel.
- Something between round and cube may be a useful compromise between field flatness and access.
- I did not (and don't intend to) look at the interaction of He3 coils with HB (A1N) or BigBite. Since these are at an angle to hall axis, things will get interesting.

Engineering notes

- All coils designed to interface with 20A/75V trim supplies.
- Cooling: simple air convection.
- Single large compensation solenoid 9720AT in #8 square aluminum (lower activation) or copper. 650 turns, 25 turns in 26 layers.
- Proposed round Bx, By coils #12 square Cu, 240 turns, 15 turns in 16 layers. Weight ~50 kg. Bz #10 square Cu, 75 kg
- Cube coils #10 square Cu, 240 turns, 15 turns in 16 layers to allow 31 G at 20A in large volume. Shrink the cube slightly to get higher fields. Coils ~90 kg each.