#### SoLID Collaboration Meeting 2021/06



#### ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION FOR THE BEAM TEST OF TELESCOPE CHERENKOV PROTOTYPE



**CHAO PENG** Argonne National Laboratory

For SoLID Telescope Cherenkov Working Group

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357





### Outline

- Beam Test at Jefferson Lab
- Waveform Data Analysis
- Performance of LAPPD/MaPMT
- Summary and Plans





# **Telescope Cherenkov Detector Prototype**

- Detector package includes
  - Cherenkov tank (CO<sub>2</sub> at 0.3 psi)
  - 2 scintillator planes
  - 9 calorimeter blocks
  - 16 MaPMTs (quadrant and sum channels) or LAPPD (64 pixels)
- Readouts: JLab FADC250
  - Raw waveform data recorded
  - 64 samples in 256 ns







#### **Photosensors**

#### Hamamatsu H12700-03



#### Refer to J. Xie's talk for more details about LAPPD

#### Incom LAPPD Gen-II









### **Beam Test at JLab**

- High rate beam test
  - Parasitic runs in June August, 2020
  - Small angle with 0.5 2  $\mu$ A beam
  - MaPMTs tested with total rates > 8 MHz per PMT (300 kHz per cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Low rate beam test
  - Parasitic runs in August September, 2020
  - Large angle, rates is one order of magnitude lower
- Bench test for LAPPD and MAROC readouts
  - Analysis is ongoing





# **Waveform Data Analysis**







### **Waveform Data Event Samples**







# **Total Rates from Pulser Triggered Runs**

- Reference runs taken before production run
  - Triggered by pulser

Total rates calculation

 $\frac{\overline{N_{peak}} \text{ per window}}{T_{win}(256 \text{ ns})}$ 

- Peak height threshold
  - 0.25 SPE, max. 8 MHz/PMT
  - 1.0 SPE, max. 7 MHz/PMT





# **Calorimeter Trigger Cut**

- Cut on central sub-blocks to select events with full acceptance
- Cut calorimeter timing with 20 ns window







# **Signal Timing Cuts**

• Timing relative to the triggered calorimeter channel,  $\pm 10$  ns



# **Signal Height Distributions**





Argonne

# **Signal Sum**





# **Single Photo-Electron Signals**





**Signal Sum Groups** 





### **MaPMT Performance**



Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

### **Event Samples**

									10
Cer14_1	Cer14_2	Cer13_1	Cer13_2	Cer12_1	Cer12_2	Cerl1_1	Cer11_2		10
Cer14_3	Cer14_4	Cer13_3	Cer13_4	Cer12_3	Cer12_4	Cer11_3	Cer11_4		8
Cer24_1	Cer24_2	Cer23_1	Cer23_2	Cer22_1	Cer22_2	Cer21_1	Cer21_2		
Cer24_3	Cer24_4	Cer23_3	Cer23_4	Cer22_3	Cer22_4	Cer21_3	Cer21_4	-	6
Cer34_1	Cer34_2	Cer33_1	Cer33_2	Cer32_1	Cer32_2	Cer31_1	Cer31_2		4
Cer34_3	Cer34_4	Cer33_3	Cer33_4	Cer32_3	Cer32_4	Cer31_3	Cer31_4		
Cer44_1	Cer44_2	Cer43_1	Cer43_2	Cer42_1	Cer42_2	Cer41_1	Cer41_2		2
Cer44_3	Cer44_4	Cer43_3	Cer43_4	Cer42_3	Cer42_4	Cer41_3	Cer41_4		

Cherenkov Signal4 PMT Sum Channels9 Quadrant Channels

# Pair Production Signal7 PMT Sum Channels16 Quadrant Channels

<b>_</b> '								
- 8	Cer11_2	Cer11_1	Cer12_2	Cer12_1	Cer13_2	Cer13_1	Cer14_2	Cer14_1
.7	Cerl1_4	Cer11_3	Cer12_4	Cer12_3	Cer13_4	Cer13_3	Cer14_4	Cer14_3
- 6	Cer21_2	Cer21_1	Cer22_2	Cer22_1	Cer23_2	Cer23_1	Cer24_2	Cer24_1
- 5	Cer21_4	Cer21_3	Cer22_4	Cer22_3		Cer23_3	Cer24_4	Cer24_3
- 4	Cer31_2	Cer31_1	Cer32_2	Cer32_1	Cer33_2	Cer33_1	Cer34_2	Cer34_1
- 3	Cer31_4	Cer31_3		Cer32_3		Cer33_3	Cer34_4	Cer34_3
- 2	Cer41_2	Cer41_1	Cer42_2	Cer42_1	Cer43_2	Cer43_1	Cer44_2	Cer44_1
- 1	Cer41_4	Cer41_3	Cer42_4	Cer42_3	Cer43_4	Cer43_3	Cer44_4	Cer44_3

BENERGY Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.



# **MaPMT/LAPPD** Comparison

LAPPD signals are much narrower, but with lower amplitudes



# **MaPMT/LAPPD** Comparison

- Similar analysis has been performed on LAPPD data (low rate)
- LAPPD results behave similarly to MaPMT (low rate)
- Signal amplitudes are significantly lower in beam-test than that in gain-matching



18

MaPMT

LAPPD



Argonne National Laboratory is a

ENERGY U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC



# **Simulation**



# Simulation vs. Data

Simulation results of NPE scaled by 0.6





# Simulation vs. Data

Simulation results of NPE scaled by 0.6





# **Summary and Plans**

- MaPMT works well in a high-rate environment of 300 kHz per cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Satisfy the requirement
  - Majority of the random background can be rejected by requiring coincidence between different PMTs/Quadrants
- LAPPD exhibits a similar performance
  - High magnetic field tolerance, narrow signal
  - Significantly lower amplitudes from beam-test data than that from oscilloscope
- Plans
  - Comparison study of MaPMT high-rate test taken with different beam currents
  - Investigate the "0.6" factor needed for simulation to match the data
  - Investigate the LAPPD signal amplitudes with bench test data



