



3GeV electron shower On hexagon calorimeter grid Orthographic projection along z axis



Hexagon Calorimeter Simulation 😕

Side size = 6.25 cm, default layering Provide nice shower area cuts — can be used in both clustering — contains ~96% of shower energy FPGA-based pattern trigger (HEX 1+6 trigger)

Pion rejection with HEX 1+6 clustering

PVDIS configuration, no background, >94% electron efficiency for all p-bins





Background simulation

- 1. Simulate background at front surface of calorimeter (Zhiwen)
- 2. Simulate calorimeter response to a wide range of background particle
- of background particle 3. Combine above two sur over all contributions (EM, DIS, pio, pi+,pi-) -> background distribution
- Imbed into the signal simulation (high energy e, pi), assuming a 50ns coincidental window



Example: photon response

10

10[.]



For PVDIS , MIP rate distribution Dominated by pion and electrons





5

The result is still under check

- Simulated 1+6 shower cluster + (10cm)² preshower for pion + electron bgd (do we still have direct photon sight on baffle?)
- It did lead to significant change in pion rejection due to pile ups







Lab





p (GeV/c)

6

(From Jan) Geant₄ Simulating scintillator before preshower





Jin Huang <jinhuang@jlab.org>

EC group Internal Communication

Simulated efficiency & rejection

- Electron
- Pion
- Photon

on Lab

Energy range: 1-7 GeV, flat phase space for SIDIS-forward



8

Simulated efficiency & rejection

- Most photon focus on lower energy side (π_o decay)
- And lower energy photon produce less back scattering
- Therefore, do the study again with $1 < E_v < 2 \text{ GeV}$





- Electron

Pile up on background

- Also from Jan: MIP rate on scintillator is 20% for 60 segments, which dominate the rejection
- More fine segmentation pursued
- Suggest sector shape, better match shower triggers



How signal looks like



son Lab



