# Electron trajectories in LGC

Rich Holmes SoLID simulation meeting 10/10/2017

#### Optical photon hit positions (r vs φ) at mirror and Winston cone DIS, cuts Q<sup>2</sup>>6, W>2, x>0.55



r vs ø, mirror

r vs ø, cone

### Optical photon hit positions (r vs φ) at mirror and Winston cone DIS, cuts Q<sup>2</sup>>6, W>2, x>0.55



r vs ø, mirror

r vs ø, cone

#### **Optical photon vertex positions for Cerenkov photons entering** Winston cone DIS, cuts Q<sup>2</sup>>6, W>2, x>0.55 vertex r vs z Looking only at sectors where a DIS e- enters and an LGC trigger occurs -10

#### Optical photon vertex positions for Cerenkov photons entering Winston cone Hall D π0



Looking only at sectors where an LGC trigger occurs

## Optical photon vertex positions for Cerenkov photons entering Winston cone aperture, but with cone removed Hall D π0



Looking only at sectors where an LGC trigger occurs

π0 LGC trigger rate down ~45% DIS LGC trigger rate down ~10%

#### Optical photon vertex positions for Cerenkov photons entering Winston cone, with blinders Hall D π0



Looking only at sectors where an LGC trigger occurs

π0 LGC trigger rate down ~45% CAVEAT: Michael reported optical photon rate INCREASED with (thick carbon) blinders — need to reconcile.

## Optical photon vertex positions for Cerenkov photons entering Winston cone aperture, but with cone removed, with blinders Hall D π0



Looking only at sectors where an LGC trigger occurs

 $\pi$ 0 LGC trigger rate down ~70% (Again, need to reconcile rate discrepancies — take with grain of salt.)

- LGC mirrors can be masked to reduce background rate
- Mirrors focus light from all directions in  $\varphi$  do not need that behavior for PVDIS
- Winston cone removal reduces background at small cost of signal
- Blinders reduce background at no cost of signal, orthogonal to Winston cone
- But discrepancies with Michael's results need to be resolved
- Is mirror masking orthogonal to cone removal and blinders?