# PVDIS Backgrounds Study Updates

11/13/2017

# **Previous Problem**

### Shower Scintillator 6p1 cluster Deposit E for backgrounds PVDIS configuration

#### R=120 cm



# **ECAL** flux information



The simulation configuration differences:

- Baffle structure--- lead baffle and kryptonite for everything else
- GEM and LGC --- not update to the real material
- Different Geant version and potentially different physics list: Hadrons+EM

# **ECAL** flux information

**Current simulation configuration** 



The simulation configuration differences:

- Current Baffle structure---after the adjusting by Rich.
- GEM and LGC--- current design structure and material.
- Geant4 version: Standard EM + Optical physics list

## ECAL flux information comparison



## ECAL flux information comparison



### ECAL Trigger Efficiency Curves with backgrounds

- Beam on Target:
- I1 GeV e<sup>-</sup> hit on deuterium target
- Geant4 physics: hadron + standard EM + optical physics process
- Mark event time window information (30 ns window) based on the Rates: total time windows: 1068
- > Merge backgrounds: (Rekitha's method)
- Geant4 beam on target: standard EM+ optical physics process
- Hall D:  $\pi^-$ ,  $\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^+$  simulation files
- All hadron and EM backgrounds are evenly distributed in time based on their rates.

ECAL Trigger Response Curves for PVDIS configuration



ECAL Trigger Response Curves for PVDIS configuration





### Merge backgrounds

#### Rekitha's parameters

Particle	Total Rate (GHz)	(1e9/total rate) ∆T (ns)	Total Events	Time Windows
$\pi^-$	28.51	0.035	1e6	1170
$\pi^0$	27.35	0.037	1e6	1219
$\pi^+$	28.51	0.035	1e6	1170
e- beam (EM)	346.03	0.00289	12e6	1170

#### EC flux rate

Ye's parameters

Particle	Total Rate (GHz)	(1e9/total rate) ∆T (ns)	Total Events	Time Windows
$\pi^-$	28.8	0.035	5e6	5794
$\pi^0$	27.5	0.036	5e6	6056
$\pi^+$	28.8	0.035	5e6	5794
e- beam (EM)	109.5	0.00913	3.5e6 ?	1068

### EC virtual plane rate

Total events hit on ECAL

# Summary and Outlook

- It can not directly compare the EM background distributions with previous studies (Jin and Rakitha's results), since there are many differences on the detector geometry and material.
- The large offset of the trigger efficiency curves, which include the beam on target backgrounds, is due to more secondary particles that hit on other detectors.
- Working on the merge background problems, will show the results later.

Any comments and suggestions ?

# Back up

### ECAL Trigger Response Curves for PVDIS configuration





shower\_6p1E\_R0

